

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,321	10/05/2001	Kendell A. Chilton	EMC01-19(01056)	5008
7590 07/30/2004			EXAMINER	
David E. Huang, Esq.			FLEURANTIN, JEAN B	
CHAPIN & HUANG, L.L.C. Westborough Office Park			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1700 West Park Drive			2172	
Westborough, MA 01581			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004	\sim

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

St

· ·		\ <i>y</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/972,321	CHILTON, KENDELL A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jean B Fleurantin	2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	ay 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	, , ,	· · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached O	flice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This is in response to the amendment filed 6 May 2004, in which claims 1-30 remain pending for examination. Examiner discusses newly added claims 23-30 in the following rejection.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 6 May 2004 with respect to claims 1-30 have been fully have been fully considered but, have been found persuasive only to the extent that the prior art of record does not specifically teach the limitations "atomically modify the doubly linked list data structure in accordance with the modify command." However, Kirkman teaches such limitations.

In response to applicant's argument on page 16, that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason with Hartung to error check. Such modification would allow the teachings of Mason and Hartung to improve the reliability of the methods and

Art Unit: 2172

apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide fast access to data, (see Hartung page 1, lines 10-11).

Page 3

3. Applicant has amended the Specification to cure minor informality. Therefore, the Objection of the abstract is now withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12, 14-19 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,884,098 issued to Mason, Jr. ("hereinafter Mason") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,581,063 issued to Kirkman ("hereinafter Kirkman").

As per claim 1, Mason discloses "a memory board for a data storage system" (see col. 3, lines 44-46), comprising:

"an interface which is configured to couple to a bus of the data storage system" as a host I/O port configured for connecting to a host computer and a SCSI port interface configures to attach a plurality of disk, (see col. 3, lines 33-40; col. 5, lines 20-21), and column 7, lines 7-9;

"memory which is configured to store a doubly linked list data structure" as a LRU cache memory configures to store doubly linked list data structure, (col. 6, lines 16-18); and

Art Unit: 2172

"a memory board control circuit, coupled to the interface and the memory" as a SCSI port to which a plurality of disk are attached, (see col. 5, lines 20-22),

"the memory board control circuit being configured" as a disk drive in which is configured for connection to a host computer, (see col. 3, lines 33-34) to:

"receive a modify command from a processor of a data storage system through the interface" as read and write modify operation, that receive the new blocks of writing data that may occur in parallel with retrieving through the disk, (see col. 9, lines 40-63), and column 2, lines 11-16, "the processor being configured to move data within the data storage system" as a means of moving the new data to the list of valid old data blocks while the obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data block, (see col. 9, lines 52-54), and column 6, lines 24-27). Mason does not explicitly disclose provide a result to the processor of the data storage system through the interface in response to modifying the doubly linked list data structure. However, Mason discloses the use of returning the data to the host computer when all of the requested data has been successfully retrieved into cache memory, (see col. 6, lines 18-29; col. 8, lines 24-28). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID disk provided therein (see Mason figure 6, element 407) would incorporate the use of providing the result to the process of the data storage system, because such modification would have allowed Mason's system the enhanced capability the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11). While, Mason substantially discloses the claimed subject matter except the claimed atomically modify the doubly linked list data structure in accordance with the modify command. However,

Art Unit: 2172

Kirkman discloses a means of maintaining a boubly-linked list of client object, but with some, i.e. unique characteristics clients may safely traverse through the list while links are being added to it and/or removed from it; and multiple clients may independently and concurrently add or remove links to/from the list. The Shared List object allows all clients to access the list simultaneously. It provides blocking of tasks at the link level and only those tasks involved in the individual link conflicts are involved in the blocking, (see Kirkman, col. 6, lines 6-13), and see col. 4, line 64 to col. 5, line 32. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined teachings of Mason and Kirkman with atomically modify the doubly linked list data structure in accordance with the modify command. Such modification would allow the teachings of Mason and Kirkman to provide the ability to traverse the links of a Share list object and to insert or remove links into/from the list, (see Kirkman col. 7, lines 60-62).

As per claim 2, Mason discloses, "wherein the doubly linked list data structure is a doubly linked list shared data structure" as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block, (see col. 6, lines 16-18).

As per claim 3, Mason discloses "wherein the doubly linked list shared data structure includes multiple entries" as an LRU cache block list which is a data structure configured as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block currently allocated in the cache memory, such that when a block which is already in the queue is used, and the entry for that block in the list is moved to the head of the list, in which the entry in the list corresponding to the block which is used at the earliest time eventually moves to the last position in the list (see col. 6, lines 16-23), "wherein the modify command is a remove instruction" as part of the modify portion of

Art Unit: 2172

the RAID level five read-modify-write operation, in which the old data blocks now present in cache memory are XORed with the parity blocks now present in cache memory to remove the old data from the parity block, (see col. 9, lines 41-44). Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the memory board control circuit is configured to atomically modify the doubly linked list shared data structure by removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the remove instruction. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use of removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

As per claim 4, Mason discloses "wherein the doubly linked list shared data structure includes multiple entries" as an LRU cache block list which is a data structure configured as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block currently allocated in the cache memory, and when a block which is already in the queue is used, and the entry for that block in the list is moved to the head of the list, in which the entry in the list corresponding to the block which is used at the earliest time eventually moves to the last position in the list (see col. 6, lines 16-23), "wherein the modify command is an add instruction" as write all new data which has been

Art Unit: 2172

received into the front end cache to the data blocks to the physical disks, in which the front end cache places pointers to these blocks of cache memory on the list of valid blocks (see figure 6, element 603; col. 10, lines 12-15). Further, in column 9, lines 41-44, Mason discloses the modify portion of the RAID level five read-modify-write operation, in which the old data blocks now present in cache memory are XORed with the parity blocks now present in cache memory to remove the old data from the parity block. Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the memory board control circuit is configured the doubly linked list shared data structure by adding an entry to the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the add instruction. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use of removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

As per claim 5, Mason discloses "wherein the doubly linked list shared data structure includes multiple entries" as an LRU cache block list which is a data structure configured as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block currently allocated in the cache memory, and when a block which is already in the queue is used, and the entry for that block in the list is

Art Unit: 2172

moved to the head of the list, in which the entry in the list corresponding to the block which is used at the earliest time eventually moves to the last position in the list (see col. 6, lines 16-23), "wherein the modify command is a move instruction" as part of the modify portion of the RAID level five read-modify-write operation, in which the old data blocks now present in cache memory are XORed with the parity blocks now present in cache memory to remove the old data from the parity block, (see col. 9, lines 41-44). Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the memory board control circuit is configured to atomically modify the doubly linked list shared data structure by moving an entry from a first position in the doubly linked list shared data structure to a second position in the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the move instruction. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use of removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

As per claim 6, Mason discloses, "and wherein the memory board control circuit is configured to provide, as the result, a series of transaction outputs respectively corresponding to the series of individual transactions" as a disk array I/O processor configured to access host data

Art Unit: 2172

in the cache memory and in communication with the plurality of disk drives, in which the disk array I/O processor processing host I/O transactions into disk I/O transactions, (see col. 3, lines 44-51). Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the memory board control circuit is configured to atomically modify the doubly linked list shared data structure by performing a series of individual transactions on the doubly linked list shared data structure. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use of removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

As per claim 7, Mason discloses "a storage system" (see col. 3, lines 44-46), comprising: "a set of storage devices" (see col. 3, lines 35-36);

"a processor which is configured to move data to and from the set of storage devices" (see col. 3, lines 44-46);

"a bus coupled to the processor" as the processors may communicate through a system bus, (see col. 7, lines 7-8); and

Art Unit: 2172

"a memory board that includes (i), an interface which couples to the bus" as a SCSI port to which a plurality of disk are attached, (see col. 5, lines 20-22),

- (ii) "memory which is configured to store a doubly linked list data structure" as a LRU cache memory configures to store doubly linked list data structure, (col. 6, lines 16-18); and
- (iii) "a memory board control circuit" as a disk drive in which is configured for connection to a host computer (see col. 3, lines 33-34), "coupled to the interface and the memory, the memory board control circuit being configured" as a SCSI port to which a plurality of disk are attached, (see col. 5, lines 20-22) to:

"receive a modify command from a processor of a data storage system through the interface" as read and write modify operation, that receive the new blocks of writing data that may occur in parallel with retrieving through the disk, (see col. 9, lines 40-63), and column 2, lines 11-16, "the processor being configured to move data within the data storage system" as a means of moving the new data to the list of valid old data blocks while the obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data block, (see col. 9, lines 52-54), and column 6, lines 24-27). Mason does not explicitly disclose provide a result to the processor of the data storage system through the interface in response to modifying the doubly linked list data structure. However, Mason discloses the use of returning the data to the host computer when all of the requested data has been successfully retrieved into cache memory, (see col. 6, lines 18-29; col. 8, lines 24-28). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID disk provided therein (see Mason figure 6, element 407) would incorporate the use of providing the result to the process of the data storage system, because such modification would have allowed Mason's system the

Art Unit: 2172

enhanced capability the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11). While, Mason substantially discloses the claimed subject matter except the claimed atomically modify the doubly linked list data structure in accordance with the modify command. However, Kirkman discloses a means of maintaining a boubly-linked list of client object, but with some, i.e. unique characteristics clients may safely traverse through the list while links are being added to it and/or removed from it; and multiple clients may independently and concurrently add or remove links to/from the list. The Shared List object allows all clients to access the list simultaneously. It provides blocking of tasks at the link level and only those tasks involved in the individual link conflicts are involved in the blocking, (see Kirkman, col. 6, lines 6-13), and see col. 4, line 64 to col. 5, line 32. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined teachings of Mason and Kirkman with atomically modify the doubly linked list data structure in accordance with the modify command. Such modification would allow the teachings of Mason and Kirkman to provide the ability to traverse the links of a Share list object and to insert or remove links into/from the list, (see Kirkman col. 7, lines 60-62).

As per claim 8, Mason discloses, "wherein the doubly linked list data structure is a doubly linked list shared data structure" as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block, (see col. 6, lines 16-18).

As per claim 9, Mason discloses "wherein the doubly linked list shared data structure includes multiple entries" as an LRU cache block list which is a data structure configured as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block currently allocated in the cache memory,

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/972,321

Art Unit: 2172

such that when a block which is already in the queue is used, and the entry for that block in the list is moved to the head of the list, in which the entry in the list corresponding to the block which is used at the earliest time eventually moves to the last position in the list (see col. 6, lines 16-23), "wherein the modify command is a remove instruction" as part of the modify portion of the RAID level five read-modify-write operation, in which the old data blocks now present in cache memory are XORed with the parity blocks now present in cache memory to remove the old data from the parity block, (see col. 9, lines 41-44). Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the memory board control circuit is configured to atomically modify the doubly linked list shared data structure by removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the remove instruction. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use of removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

As per claim 10, Mason discloses "wherein the doubly linked list shared data structure includes multiple entries" as an LRU cache block list which is a data structure configured as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block currently allocated in the cache memory,

Art Unit: 2172

and when a block which is already in the queue is used, and the entry for that block in the list is moved to the head of the list, in which the entry in the list corresponding to the block which is used at the earliest time eventually moves to the last position in the list (see col. 6, lines 16-23), "wherein the modify command is an add instruction" as write all new data which has been received into the front end cache to the data blocks to the physical disks, in which the front end cache places pointers to these blocks of cache memory on the list of valid blocks (see figure 6, element 603; col. 10, lines 12-15). Further, in column 9, lines 41-44, Mason discloses the modify portion of the RAID level five read-modify-write operation, in which the old data blocks now present in cache memory are XORed with the parity blocks now present in cache memory to remove the old data from the parity block. Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the memory board control circuit is configured the doubly linked list shared data structure by adding an entry to the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the add instruction. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use of removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 11, Mason discloses "wherein the doubly linked list shared data structure includes multiple entries" as an LRU cache block list which is a data structure configured as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block currently allocated in the cache memory, and when a block which is already in the queue is used, and the entry for that block in the list is moved to the head of the list, in which the entry in the list corresponding to the block which is used at the earliest time eventually moves to the last position in the list (see col. 6, lines 16-23), "wherein the modify command is a move instruction" as part of the modify portion of the RAID level five read-modify-write operation, in which the old data blocks now present in cache memory are XORed with the parity blocks now present in cache memory to remove the old data from the parity block, (see col. 9, lines 41-44). Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the memory board control circuit is configured to atomically modify the doubly linked list shared data structure by moving an entry from a first position in the doubly linked list shared data structure to a second position in the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the move instruction. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use of removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and

Art Unit: 2172

apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

As per claim 12, Mason discloses, "and wherein the memory board control circuit is configured to provide, as the result, a series of transaction outputs respectively corresponding to the series of individual transactions" as a disk array I/O processor configured to access host data in the cache memory and in communication with the plurality of disk drives, in which the disk array I/O processor processing host I/O transactions into disk I/O transactions, (see col. 3, lines 44-51). Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the memory board control circuit is configured to atomically modify the doubly linked list shared data structure by performing a series of individual transactions on the doubly linked list shared data structure. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use of removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 14, Mason discloses "in a memory board of a data storage system" (see col. 3, lines 44-46), "a method for accessing a doubly linked list data structure" (col. 6, lines 7-11), the method comprising the steps of:

"receive a modify command from a processor of a data storage system through a bus of the data storage system" as read and write modify operation, that receive the new blocks of writing data that may occur in parallel with retrieving through the disk, (see col. 9, lines 40-63), and column 2, lines 11-16, "the processor being configured to move data within the data storage system" as a means of moving the new data to the list of valid old data blocks while the obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data block, (see col. 9, lines 52-54), and column 6, lines 24-27). Mason does not explicitly disclose provide a result to the processor of the data storage system through the interface in response to modifying the doubly linked list data structure. However, Mason discloses the use of returning the data to the host computer when all of the requested data has been successfully retrieved into cache memory, (see col. 6, lines 18-29; col. 8, lines 24-28). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID disk provided therein (see Mason figure 6, element 407) would incorporate the use of providing the result to the process of the data storage system, because such modification would have allowed Mason's system the enhanced capability the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11). While, Mason substantially discloses the claimed subject matter except the claimed atomically modify the doubly linked list data structure in accordance with the modify command. However, Kirkman discloses a means of maintaining a boubly-linked list of

Art Unit: 2172

client object, but with some, i.e. unique characteristics clients may safely traverse through the list while links are being added to it and/or removed from it; and multiple clients may independently and concurrently add or remove links to/from the list. The Shared List object allows all clients to access the list simultaneously. It provides blocking of tasks at the link level and only those tasks involved in the individual link conflicts are involved in the blocking, (see Kirkman, col. 6, lines 6-13), and see col. 4, line 64 to col. 5, line 32. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined teachings of Mason and Kirkman with atomically modify the doubly linked list data structure in accordance with the modify command. Such modification would allow the teachings of Mason and Kirkman to provide the ability to traverse the links of a Share list object and to insert or remove links into/from the list, (see Kirkman col. 7, lines 60-62).

As per claim 15, Mason discloses "wherein the step of atomically modifying the doubly linked list shared data structure" as a means of moving the entry for a particular block in a list to the head of the list and deallocating the cache block to the last entry in the list when the cache memory becomes full, (see col. 6, lines 18-29), and col. 9, lines 40-44, Mason discloses as part of the modify portion of the RAID level five read-modify-write operation, in which the old data blocks now present in cache memory are XORed with the parity blocks now present in cache memory to remove the old data from the parity block. Mason does not explicitly indicate wherein the step of updating, as the doubly linked list shared data structure, a doubly linked list shared data structure in an atomic manner. However, Mason indicates when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the

Page 18

Application/Control Number: 09/972,321

Art Unit: 2172

pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Mason with wherein the step of updating, as the doubly linked list shared data structure, a doubly linked list shared data structure in an atomic manner. Such modification would incorporate the use of updating, as the doubly linked list shared data structure, a doubly linked list shared data structure in an atomic manner, and to improve the reliability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

As per claim 16, Mason discloses "wherein the doubly linked list shared data structure includes multiple entries" as an LRU cache block list which is a data structure configured as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block currently allocated in the cache memory, and when a block which is already in the queue is used, and the entry for that block in the list is moved to the head of the list, in which the entry in the list corresponding to the block which is used at the earliest time eventually moves to the last position in the list (see col. 6, lines 16-23), "wherein the modify command is a remove instruction" as part of the modify portion of the RAID level five read-modify-write operation, in which the old data blocks now present in cache memory are XORed with the parity blocks now present in cache memory to remove the old data from the parity block, (see col. 9, lines 41-44). Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the step of updating the doubly linked list shared data structure including the step of removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the remove instruction. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data

Art Unit: 2172

blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use of removing an entry from the doubly linked list shared data structure. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

Page 19

As per claim 17, Mason discloses "wherein the doubly linked list shared data structure includes multiple entries" as an LRU cache block list which is a data structure configured as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block currently allocated in the cache memory, and when a block which is already in the queue is used, and the entry for that block in the list is moved to the head of the list, in which the entry in the list corresponding to the block which is used at the earliest time eventually moves to the last position in the list (see col. 6, lines 16-23), "wherein the modify command is an add instruction" as write all new data which has been received into the front end cache to the data blocks to the physical disks, in which the front end cache places pointers to these blocks of cache memory on the list of valid blocks (see figure 6, element 603; col. 10, lines 12-15). Further, in column 9, lines 41-44, Mason discloses the modify portion of the RAID level five read-modify-write operation, in which the old data blocks now present in cache memory are XORed with the parity blocks now present in cache memory to remove the old data from the parity block. Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the step

Art Unit: 2172

of updating the doubly linked list shared data structure includes the step of adding an entry to the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the add instruction. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use adding an entry to the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the add instruction. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

As per claim 18, Mason discloses "wherein the doubly linked list shared data structure includes multiple entries" as an LRU cache block list which is a data structure configured as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block currently allocated in the cache memory, and when a block which is already in the queue is used, and the entry for that block in the list is moved to the head of the list, in which the entry in the list corresponding to the block which is used at the earliest time eventually moves to the last position in the list (see col. 6, lines 16-23), "wherein the modify command is a remove instruction" as part of the modify portion of the RAID level five read-modify-write operation, in which the old data blocks now present in cache memory are XORed with the parity blocks now present in cache memory to remove the old data from the parity block, (see col. 9, lines 41-44). Mason does not explicitly disclose wherein the

Art Unit: 2172

step of updating the doubly linked list shared data structure including the step of moving an entry from a first position in the doubly linked list shared data structure to a second position in the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the remove instruction. However, Mason states when the new data blocks are written to the physical disks, the new data blocks become, in effect, "old" data, where pointers to the cached "new" data are moved to the list of valid old data blocks, while the pointers to the now-obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data blocks, (see col. 9, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID level 5, provided therein (see Mason's fig. 2) would incorporate the use of moving an entry from a first position in the doubly linked list shared data structure to a second position in the doubly linked list shared data structure in response to the remove instruction. Such modification would provide Mason's system the enhance capability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11).

As per claim 19, in addition to claim 18, Mason further discloses, "performing a series of individual transactions on the doubly linked list shared data structure" as an LRU cache block list may be a data structure configured as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block currently allocated in the cache memory, and when a block which is already in the queue is used, and the entry for that block in the list is moved to the head of the list, in which the entry in the list corresponding to the block which is used at the earliest time eventually moves to the last position in the list (see col. 6, lines 16-23), "and wherein the step of providing the result includes the step of outputting, as the result, a series of transaction outputs respectively corresponding to

Art Unit: 2172

the series of individual transactions" as a disk array I/O processor configured to access host data in the cache memory and in communication with the plurality of disk drives, in which the disk array I/O processor processing host I/O transactions into disk I/O transactions, (see col. 3, lines 44-51).

As per claim 21, Mason discloses "a memory board circuit" (see col. 3, lines 44-46), "for accessing a doubly linked list data structure of a data storage system" (col. 6, lines 7-11), "the memory board control circuit being mountable to a memory board" as to a plurality of disks forming a disk drive array (see col. 3, lines 35-36), the memory board control circuit comprising:

"an input port that couples to a bus of the data storage system" as a host I/O port configured for connecting to a host computer and a SCSI port interface configures to attach a plurality of disk, (see col. 3, lines 33-40; col. 5, lines 20-21), and column 7, lines 7-9;

"an output port that couples to a bus of the data storage system" as a host I/O port configured for connecting to a host computer and a SCSI port interface configures to attach a plurality of disk, (see col. 3, lines 33-40; col. 5, lines 20-21), and column 7, lines 7-9; and

"control logic, connected to the input port and to the output port" as a SCSI port to which a plurality of disk are attached, (see col. 5, lines 20-21), that is configured to:

"receive a modify command from a processor of a data storage system through the input port" as read and write modify operation, that receive the new blocks of writing data that may occur in parallel with retrieving through the disk, (see col. 9, lines 40-63), and column 2, lines 11-16, "the processor being configured to move data within the data storage system" as a means of moving the new data to the list of valid old data blocks while the obsolete data for the written blocks are removed from the list of valid old data block, (see col. 9, lines 52-54), and column 6,

Page 23

Application/Control Number: 09/972,321

Art Unit: 2172

lines 24-27). Mason does not explicitly disclose provide a result to the processor of the data storage system through the interface in response to modifying the doubly linked list data structure. However, Mason discloses the use of returning the data to the host computer when all of the requested data has been successfully retrieved into cache memory, (see col. 6, lines 18-29; col. 8, lines 24-28). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason's system, wherein the RAID disk provided therein (see Mason figure 6, element 407) would incorporate the use of providing the result to the process of the data storage system, because such modification would have allowed Mason's system the enhanced capability the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a storage system, and to provide improvement in disk array controllers systems, (see col. 1, lines 10-11). While, Mason substantially discloses the claimed subject matter except the claimed atomically modify the doubly linked list data structure in accordance with the modify command. However, Kirkman discloses a means of maintaining a boubly-linked list of client object, but with some, i.e. unique characteristics clients may safely traverse through the list while links are being added to it and/or removed from it; and multiple clients may independently and concurrently add or remove links to/from the list. The Shared List object allows all clients to access the list simultaneously. It provides blocking of tasks at the link level and only those tasks involved in the individual link conflicts are involved in the blocking, (see Kirkman, col. 6, lines 6-13), and see col. 4, line 64 to col. 5, line 32. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined teachings of Mason and Kirkman with atomically modify the doubly linked list data structure in accordance with the modify command. Such modification would allow the teachings of Mason and Kirkman to

Art Unit: 2172

provide the ability to traverse the links of a Share list object and to insert or remove links into/from the list, (see Kirkman col. 7, lines 60-62).

As per claim 22, Mason discloses, "wherein the doubly linked list data structure is a doubly linked list shared data structure" as a doubly linked list holding a pointer to each cache block, (see col. 6, lines 16-18).

As per claims 23, 25, 27 and 29, in addition to claim 1, Mason further discloses, "change the doubly linked list data structure in a non-interruptible single operation" as read and write modify operation, that receive the new blocks of writing data that may occur in parallel with retrieving through the disk, (see col. 9, lines 40-63), and column 2, lines 11-16.

As per claims 24, 26, 28 and 30, in addition to claim 1, Mason substantially discloses the claimed subject matter except the claimed prevent execution of any overlapping context to alleviate having to coordinate modification of the doubly linked list data structure using shared structure locking overhead operations. However, Kirkman discloses the use of locks is simple and effective, but has the potential to affect performance. It may take some time to traverse a list, searching for a particular element. During this time, all other processes are precluded from modifying the list, and, depending on the type of lock may be precluded from traversing the list as well, (see Kirkman col. 2, lines 32-38), and col. 2, line 60 to col. 3, lines 8. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined teachings of Mason and Kirkman prevent execution of any overlapping context to alleviate having to coordinate modification of the doubly linked list data structure using shared structure locking overhead operations. Such modification would allow the teachings of Mason

Art Unit: 2172

and Kirkman to provide the ability to traverse the links of a Share list object and to insert or remove links into/from the list, (see Kirkman col. 7, lines 60-62).]

5. Claims 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,884,098 issued to Mason, Jr. ("hereinafter Mason") as applied to claims 1-12, 14-19 and 21-30 and further in view of European Patent (EP) 0114190 A2 issued to Hartung ("hereinafter Hartung").

As per claim 13, Mason discloses, "wherein the series of transaction outputs provided by the memory board control circuit includes a first transaction output and a second transaction output" as the host I/O processor is responsible for receiving commands (input) from the host computer to the RAID array and transferring data and command status responses (output) from the RAID array back to the host computer, (see col. 2, lines 3-6); "wherein the processor is configured to (i) generate a pseudo transaction output based on the first transaction output" as a host I/O processor in communication with the host I/O port and configured to perform I/O transactions with the host computer through the host I/O pert, (see col. 3, lines 38-40). Mason does not explicitly disclose compare the pseudo transaction output to the second transaction output in order to error check operation of the memory board. However, Hartung discloses parity error checking on the quality of data transfer, (see Hartung page 21, lines 21-36), and page 26, lines 4-5. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason with Hartung to error check. Such modification would allow the teachings of Mason and Hartung to improve the reliability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide fast access to data, (see Hartung page 1, lines 10-11).

Page 26

Application/Control Number: 09/972,321

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 20, Mason discloses, "wherein the series of transaction outputs provided by the memory board control circuit includes a first transaction output and a second transaction output" as the host I/O processor is responsible for receiving commands (input) from the host computer to the RAID array and transferring data and command status responses (output) from the RAID array back to the host computer, (see col. 2, lines 3-6); and wherein the method further comprises the step of:

"generation of a pseudo transaction output based on the first transaction output" as a host I/O processor in communication with the host I/O port and configured to perform I/O transactions with the host computer through the host I/O pert, (see col. 3, lines 38-40). Mason does not explicitly disclose "performing and error handling routine in response to an error message from the processor resulting from" and "a comparison of the pseudo transaction output to the second transaction output in order to error check operation of the memory board". However, Hartung discloses "performing and error handling routine in response to an error message from the processor resulting from" as a means of using unit 10 with access to the data in error being controlled in accordance with error recovery procedures, (see Hartung page 9, lines 30-32), and "a comparison of the pseudo transaction output to the second transaction output in order to error check operation of the memory board" as parity error checking on the quality of data transfer, (see Hartung page 21, lines 21-36). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mason with Hartung to error check. Such modification would allow the teachings of Mason and Hartung to improve the reliability of the methods and apparatus for accessing a doubly linked list in a data storage system, and to provide fast access to data, (see Hartung page 1, lines 10-11).

CONTACT INFORMATION

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B Fleurantin whose telephone number is 703-308-6718. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John B Breene can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean Bolte Fleurantin

July 18, 2004

SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER